

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,051	10/25/2000	Kenneth R. Owens	4910.00011	4910.00011 4425	
45149	7590 06/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TELLABS OPERATIONS, INC. LEGAL DEPARTMENT 1415 WEST DIEHL ROAD			PHUNKUL	PHUNKULH, BOB A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	NAPERVILLE, IL 60563			2661	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/696,051	OWENS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Bob A. Phunkulh	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orresponaence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ma	<u>ay 2005</u> .					
·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 119(a)	or(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	·	od III tillo i vational Otago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Undice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						

Art Unit: 2661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is in response to applicant's 05/04/2005 amendment(s)/response(s) in the application of **Owens et al.** for "**Protection/Restoration of MPLS Networks**" filed 10/25/2000. The amendments/response to the claims have been entered. No claims have been canceled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-20 are now pending.

# Request for Continued Examination

The request filed on 5/14/2005 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/696,051 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cao et al. (US 6,721,269), hereinafter Cao.

Regarding claim 1, *Cao* discloses an multi-protocol label switching system (MPLS) having a working path over which data is carried from a source to a destination and further having a protection path over which data from the source to the destination w3can be carried, a method of initiating an MPLS protection path switch over from the working path to the protection path comprising the steps of:

-detecting a failure on the working path at a first switching node (a router along the path that first detects the failure) of the working path (routers along the path monitor the path and report the failure to source node col. 3 lines 39-46, and 48-51);

-transmitting a failure notification message from only a first switching node to at least a second, switching node of the working path (if a failure is detected, a router that first detects the failure propagates the physical level maintenance to the source and sink routers, col. 3 lines 48-51);

-routing data from the working path to the protection path upon the receipt of the failure notification message at least one of: the second switching node an a third switching node of the working path, wherein the at least one of the second switching node and the third switching node is at an origin of both the working path and the protection path (the source and sink routers, col. 3 lines 53-56).

Regarding claim 3, *Cao* discloses the failure notification message travels along a path through the MPLS system, extending between the destination and the source (the source router and sink router, see col. 3 lines 53-56).

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 4, *Cao* discloses a multi-protocol label switching (MPLS) system protection switch comprising:

a first data input port into which MPLS data is received from a data source (the data source connected to LSRS not shown in figure 1);

a first data output port from which MPLS data is sent to a second MPLS switching system comprising an MPLS working path (path S-A-B-E, see claim 1 and col. 6 lines 12-23);

a second data output port from which MPLS data is sent to a third MPLS switching system comprising an MPLS protection path (path S-C-D-E, see claim 1 and col. 6 line 12-23);

a second data input port adapted to connect to a path that follows the MPLS working path for receiving failure notifications;

whereby data received at the data input port from the data source can be selectively routed from the second MPLS switching system to the third MPLS switching system by a node at an origin of both the MPLS working path and the MPLS protection path (the source and sink routers along the path having both working and protection paths and each router acts as an origin of both the MPLS working and protection path, see figure 1 and col. 3 lines 35-57).

Regarding claim 5, Cao discloses the MPLS switching system of claim 4 further comprising a control input port whereat protection path failure messages are received

Art Unit: 2661

from at least one the second MPLS switching system and the third MPLS switching system (see claim 1 and figure 1).

Regarding claim 7, *Cao* discloses the first switching node is upstream to the failure (LSRS, see figure 1 and col. 10 lines 21-41).

Regarding claim 8, Cao discloses the failure is an upstream failure and is detected by a node upstream to the failure (path S-A-B-E and detected by LSRA, see figure 1 and col. 10 lines 21-41).

Regarding claim 9, *Cao* disclose the failure is downlink failure and is detected by a node downlink to the failure (path S-A-B-E and detected by LSRB, see figure 1 and col. 10 lines 21-41).

Regarding claim 10, Cao disclose the failure is a bi-directional failure and is detected by a pair of nodes downlink and uplink to the failure (path S-A-B-E and detected by LSRS and LSRB, see figure 1 and col. 10 lines 21-41).

Regarding claim 12, Cao discloses a method for MPLS protection switching from a working path to a protection path comprising:

transmitting a failure notification to a protection switch node along a path that follow the working path (see col. 3 lines 41-57); and

Art Unit: 2661

routing data a the protection switch node from the working path to the protection path upon receipt of the failure notification, wherein the protection switch node is at an origin of the working path and the protection path (the source and sink routers along the path having both working and protection paths and each router acts as an origin of both the MPLS working and protection path, see figure 1 and col. 3 lines 35-57).

Regarding claim 13, *Cao* discloses the failure notification is transmitted in a direction reverse to the working path (see col. 10 lines 21-41).

Regarding claim 14, *Cao* discloses the path follows the protection path mirrors the working path (see figure 1).

Regarding claim 15, Cao discloses detecting a failure (see col. 3 lines 49-51).

Regarding claim 16, *Cao* discloses the first switching node is upstream to the failure (LSRS, see figure 1 and col. 10 lines 21-41).

Regarding claim 17, Cao discloses the failure is an upstream failure and is detected by a node upstream to the failure (path S-A-B-E and detected by LSRA, see figure 1 and col. 10 lines 21-41).

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 18, *Cao* disclose the failure is downlink failure and is detected by a node downlink to the failure (path S-A-B-E and detected by LSRB, see figure 1 and col. 10 lines 21-41).

Regarding claim 19, *Cao* disclose the failure is a bi-directional failure and is detected by a pair of nodes downlink and uplink to the failure (path S-A-B-E and detected by LSRS and LSRB, see figure 1 and col. 10 lines 21-41).

Regarding claim 20, *Cao* disclose the failure is detected by a pair of nodes downlink and uplink to the failure (path S-A-B-E and detected by LSRS and LSRB, see figure 1 and col. 10 lines 21-41).

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Dantu* et al. (US 6,532,088), hereinafter *Dantu*.

Regarding claim 6, *Dantu* discloses a multi-protocol label switching (MPLS) system comprised of a first MPLS protection switch having a data input port into which MPLS data is received from a data source (the central network node, see figure 3);

a second MPLS switching system coupled to said first MPLS protection switch via a first data path carrying MPLS data, said first data path comprising an MPLS working path (either network node 312 or 320, see figure 3);

a third MPLS switching system coupled to said first MPLS protection switch via a second data path capable of carrying MPLS data, said second data path comprising an MPLS protection path (either network node 312 or 320, see figure 3); an upstream reverse notification tree (RNT) data path extending at least between said second MPLS switching system to said MPLS protection switch carrying data by which a switchover from a working path to a protection path can be initiated (see col. 9 lines 8-33 and figure 3).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Cao* in view of *Omuro* et al. (US 5,241,534), hereinafter *Omuro*.

Regarding claim 2, *Cao* fails to explicitly disclose that re-routing data from the protection path to the working path upon the determination that the failure on the working path has been corrected.

Omuro, on the other hand, teaches re-routing (change back) data from the protection path to the working path upon the determination that the failure on the working path has been corrected (see abstract).

Art Unit: 2661

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to implement the teaching of *Omuro* in the system taught by *Cao* in order to restore the original path upon the restoration the path –where the original path usually is cost efficient and shortest path.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

The following address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) only:

Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_ Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

### Or:

The following address mail to be delivered by other delivery services (Federal Express (Fed Ex), UPS, DHL, Laser, Action, Purolater, Hand Delivery, etc.) as follow:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 220 20<sup>th</sup> Street South Customer Window, Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_ Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, VA 22202.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Bob A. Phunkulh** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-3083.** The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tursday from 8:00 A.M.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,051 Page 10

Art Unit: 2661

to 5:00 P.M. (first week of the bi-week) and Monday-Friday (for second week of the bi-

week).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor Chau Nguyen, can be reach on (571) 272-3126. The fax phone number for

this group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Bob A. Phunkulh** 

TC 2600

Art Unit 2661

May 25, 2005

BOB PHUNKULH PRIMARY EXAMINER